Assessment of the progress of CEI 2010 Projects
Project Evaluation Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Reference</th>
<th>CEI Montegancedo I2Tech</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**General Comment:**
The summary and detailed reports present a set of impressive developments. This is not the typical transfer of technology campus. The Technical University of Madrid seems to be successfully integrating the preparation of high calibre doctoral students and its technology development activities in leading-edge domains.

At the same time, the detailed report did not include much self-critical information about possible challenges encountered in implementing the planned activities. It is not clear from the documents whether this is due to the format imposed on participating universities to report their progress or to the inability of the University to present the overall results of the Project in a more analytic way.

**Strengths:**
Integration of postgraduate training, research and technology transfer in partnership with private firms
Research in non-traditional areas of ICT, including at the intersection of ICT and renewable energies, and construction design
Internationalization through participation in global research networks and collaboration with firms that commercialize new products and services outside Spain.

**Weaknesses:**
Some minor delays in construction / establishment of some centres (for example the Concurrent Design Facility due to the complexity of the project) and acquisition of planned equipment
Some delays in recruitment of new doctors due to complex procedures
Emphasis in the report on construction and establishment of new centres, little information about actual progress in teaching and research domains.

**Potential Impact:**
This project has the potential to create strong synergies among research, postgraduate training and technology transfer while taking the international dimensions of the University one step higher.

**Recommendations:**
This Project looks like a solid set of relevant activities aiming at better integrating research, postgraduate training and technology transfer.

It would be useful, in the detailed annual report, to include a section that presents general results in terms of improved research production, postgraduate training and technology transfer activities, before moving on to the detailed assessment / description of all the sub-projects.

**Score: A- Good Progress**

**Send annual report next year**