



POLITÉCNICA

INTERNATIONAL  
CAMPUS OF  
EXCELLENCE

COORDINATION PROCESS OF  
LEARNING ACTIVITIES  
PR/CL/001



E.T.S. de Ingenieros  
Informáticos

# ANX-PR/CL/001-01

## LEARNING GUIDE

### SUBJECT

**103000546 - Rigorous software development**

### DEGREE PROGRAMME

10AM - Master Universitario En Ingenieria Del Software

### ACADEMIC YEAR & SEMESTER

2018/19 - Semester 1

## Index

---

### Learning guide

1. Description.....	1
2. Faculty.....	1
3. Prior knowledge recommended to take the subject.....	2
4. Skills and learning outcomes .....	2
5. Brief description of the subject and syllabus.....	3
6. Schedule.....	5
7. Activities and assessment criteria.....	7
8. Teaching resources.....	9

## 1. Description

### 1.1. Subject details

<b>Name of the subject</b>	103000546 - Rigorous software development
<b>No of credits</b>	4 ECTS
<b>Type</b>	Optional
<b>Academic year of the programme</b>	First year
<b>Semester of tuition</b>	Semester 1
<b>Tuition period</b>	September-January
<b>Tuition languages</b>	English
<b>Degree programme</b>	10AM - Master universitario en ingenieria del software
<b>Centre</b>	10 - Escuela Tecnica Superior de Ingenieros Informaticos
<b>Academic year</b>	2018-19

## 2. Faculty

### 2.1. Faculty members with subject teaching role

<b>Name and surname</b>	<b>Office/Room</b>	<b>Email</b>	<b>Tutoring hours *</b>
Manuel Carro Liñares	2304	manuel.carro@upm.es	F - 15:00 - 19:00 Please send an e-mail to ensure an appointment before going to the instructor's office.
Julio Mariño Carballo (Subject coordinator)	2308	julio.marino@upm.es	Sin horario. Please send an e-mail to ensure an appointment before going to the

			instructor's office.
--	--	--	----------------------

\* The tutoring schedule is indicative and subject to possible changes. Please check tutoring times with the faculty member in charge.

### 3. Prior knowledge recommended to take the subject

---

#### 3.1. Recommended (passed) subjects

El plan de estudios Master Universitario en Ingeniería del Software no tiene definidas asignaturas previas recomendadas para esta asignatura.

#### 3.2. Other recommended learning outcomes

- First-order logic, formal proofs, declarative programming, reasoning about properties of algorithms.

### 4. Skills and learning outcomes \*

---

#### 4.1. Skills to be learned

CG10 - Capacidad de pensamiento creativo con el objetivo de desarrollar enfoques y métodos nuevos y originales

#### 4.2. Learning outcomes

RA68 - RA-AV-3 - Knowledge of languages for formal specification

RA65 - RA-AV-1 - Acquaintance with design requirements and implementation requirements.

RA70 - RA-AV-5 - Effective use of rigorous software development techniques.

RA69 - RA-AV-4 - Knowledge of techniques for formally proving code correctness.

RA66 - RA-AV-2 Acquaintance with various techniques for formal software development

RA67 - RA-AV-2 - Acquaintance with various techniques for formal software development

\* The Learning Guides should reflect the Skills and Learning Outcomes in the same way as indicated in the Degree Verification Memory. For this reason, they have not been translated into English and appear in Spanish.

## 5. Brief description of the subject and syllabus

---

### 5.1. Brief description of the subject

Software is getting more and more complex and is becoming responsible for critical tasks. Therefore, any technology aimed at ensuring the reliability and quality of software will be increasingly relevant.

There are many ways to approach these goals. The *declarative* approach relies on languages and logics with a solid mathematical foundation. This includes specification languages (VDM, Z, B, Event-B, OBJ, Alloy, ...), functional programming languages (Haskell, Erlang,  $\lambda$ -calculi?), logic programming languages (Prolog, CLP, ASP) among others.

Some basic knowledge of logic and functional and logic programming is assumed as a prerequisite.

Some goals of the course are:

- To motivate the use of technologies in software development under the correctness-by-construction paradigm.
- To study different families of languages aimed at easing the process of building correct software.
- To understand the differences between declarative and procedural languages and the impact of these aspects in software development.
- To identify the better niches for the industrial application of declarative / correctness by construction technologies.

## 5.2. Syllabus

### 1. Introduction

- 1.1. Overview, motivation, and challenges for rigorous SW development
- 1.2. Review of background: formal logic, proofs...

### 2. Correctness by Construction

- 2.1. Event-B: Theory and development methods.
- 2.2. Event-B: the Rodin tool

### 3. Verification.

- 3.1. Classical program verification
- 3.2. The Dafny tool
- 3.3. The Alloy tool
- 3.4. Property-based testing

### 4. Specifications

- 4.1. Algebraic specifications
- 4.2. The Maude algebraic specification language

## 6. Schedule

### 6.1. Subject schedule\*

Week	Face-to-face classroom activities	Face-to-face laboratory activities	Other face-to-face activities	Assessment activities
1	<b>Course introduction</b> Duration: 02:00 Lecture			
2	<b>Rigorous software development: a broad landscape</b> Duration: 01:45 Lecture			<b>Exercises, questions, and answers</b> Other assessment Continuous assessment Duration: 00:15
3	<b>Event-B</b> Duration: 01:45 Cooperative activities			<b>Exercises, questions, and answers</b> Other assessment Continuous assessment Duration: 00:15
4	<b>Event-B</b> Duration: 01:45 Cooperative activities			<b>Exercises, questions, and answers</b> Other assessment Continuous assessment Duration: 00:15
5	<b>Event-B</b> Duration: 01:45 Cooperative activities			<b>Exercises, questions, and answers</b> Other assessment Continuous assessment Duration: 00:15
6	<b>Event-B</b> Duration: 01:45 Cooperative activities			<b>Exercises, questions, and answers</b> Other assessment Continuous assessment Duration: 00:15
7	<b>Event-B</b> Duration: 01:45 Cooperative activities			<b>Exercises, questions, and answers</b> Other assessment Continuous assessment Duration: 00:15
8	<b>Program Verification</b> Duration: 01:45 Lecture			<b>Exercises, questions, and answers</b> Other assessment Continuous assessment Duration: 00:15
9	<b>Program Verification</b> Duration: 01:45 Lecture			<b>Exercises, questions, and answers</b> Other assessment Continuous assessment Duration: 00:15
10	<b>Program Verification</b> Duration: 01:45 Lecture			<b>Exercises, questions, and answers</b> Other assessment Continuous assessment Duration: 00:15
11	<b>Algebraic specifications</b> Duration: 01:45 Lecture			<b>Exercises, questions, and answers</b> Other assessment Continuous assessment Duration: 00:15

12	<b>Algebraic specifications</b> Duration: 01:45 Lecture			<b>Exercises, questions, and answers</b> Other assessment Continuous assessment Duration: 00:15
13	<b>Algebraic specifications</b> Duration: 01:45 Lecture			<b>Exercises, questions, and answers</b> Other assessment Continuous assessment Duration: 00:15
14	<b>Alloy</b> Duration: 01:45 Problem-solving class			<b>Exercises, questions, and answers</b> Other assessment Continuous assessment Duration: 00:15
15	<b>Exercises and problems</b> Duration: 02:00 Cooperative activities			
16				<b>Project presentation</b> Group work Continuous assessment Duration: 02:00
17				<b>Project presentation/Exam</b> Other assessment Final examination Duration: 02:00

The independent study hours are training activities during which students should spend time on individual study or individual assignments.

Depending on the programme study plan, total values will be calculated according to the ECTS credit unit as 26/27 hours of student face-to-face contact and independent study time.

\* The subject schedule is based on a previous theoretical planning of the subject plan and might go through experience some unexpected changes along throughout the academic year.



## 7. Activities and assessment criteria

### 7.1. Assessment activities

#### 7.1.1. Continuous assessment

Week	Description	Modality	Type	Duration	Weight	Minimum grade	Evaluated skills
2	Exercises, questions, and answers	Other assessment	Face-to-face	00:15	3.85%	0 / 10	CG10
3	Exercises, questions, and answers	Other assessment	Face-to-face	00:15	3.85%	0 / 10	CG10
4	Exercises, questions, and answers	Other assessment	Face-to-face	00:15	3.85%	0 / 10	CG10
5	Exercises, questions, and answers	Other assessment	Face-to-face	00:15	3.85%	0 / 10	CG10
6	Exercises, questions, and answers	Other assessment	Face-to-face	00:15	3.85%	0 / 10	CG10
7	Exercises, questions, and answers	Other assessment	Face-to-face	00:15	3.85%	0 / 10	CG10
8	Exercises, questions, and answers	Other assessment	Face-to-face	00:15	3.85%	0 / 10	CG10
9	Exercises, questions, and answers	Other assessment	Face-to-face	00:15	3.85%	0 / 10	CG10
10	Exercises, questions, and answers	Other assessment	Face-to-face	00:15	3.85%	0 / 10	CG10
11	Exercises, questions, and answers	Other assessment	Face-to-face	00:15	3.85%	0 / 10	CG10
12	Exercises, questions, and answers	Other assessment	Face-to-face	00:15	3.85%	0 / 10	CG10
13	Exercises, questions, and answers	Other assessment	Face-to-face	00:15	3.85%	0 / 10	CG10
14	Exercises, questions, and answers	Other assessment	Face-to-face	00:15	3.8%	0 / 10	CG10
16	Project presentation	Group work	Face-to-face	02:00	50%	0 / 10	CG10

#### 7.1.2. Final examination

Week	Description	Modality	Type	Duration	Weight	Minimum grade	Evaluated skills
------	-------------	----------	------	----------	--------	---------------	------------------

17	Project presentation/Exam	Other assessment	Face-to-face	02:00	100%	0 / 10	CG10
----	---------------------------	------------------	--------------	-------	------	--------	------

### 7.1.3. Referred (re-sit) examination

Description	Modality	Type	Duration	Weight	Minimum grade	Evaluated skills
Examen extraordinario convocatoria julio	Written test	Face-to-face	02:00	100%	5 / 10	CG10

## 7.2. Assessment criteria

Depending of the number of students, the final grade will be obtained either from:

- A suite of short, individual practical exercises periodically proposed which will be worth 50% of the final grade. The remaining 50% will come from short presentations.
- Individual practical exercises, if the number of students is too high to allow for the extra sessions needed for the presentations.

Exercises for each unit will have the same relative weight for the overall grade, although individual exercises in a given unit can have different weights.

The percentages don't add up to 100% due to rounding issues.

## 8. Teaching resources

### 8.1. Teaching resources for the subject

Name	Type	Notes
Event B development environment	Others	
Dafny	Others	
Maude	Others	
Alloy	Others	
Modeling in Event-B: System and Software Engineering. Jean-Raymond Abrial. Cambridge University Press.	Bibliography	
<a href="http://wiki.event-b.org/">http://wiki.event-b.org/</a>	Bibliography	
The Dafny web page at Microsoft RiSE: <a href="http://www.rise4fun.com/Dafny">http://www.rise4fun.com/Dafny</a>	Web resource	
All About Maude -- A High Performance Logical Framework. Clavel, M., Durán, F., Eker, S., Lincoln, P., Martí-Oliet, N., Meseguer, J., Talcott, C. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4350.	Bibliography	
Alloy: A Lightweight Object Modelling Notation. Daniel Jackson. ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology (TOSEM'02), volume 11, issue 2, pages 256-290.	Bibliography	
Seven Myths of Formal Methods. Anthony Hall. IEEE Software, September 1990	Bibliography	

Seven More Myths of Formal Methods. Jonathan P. Bowen, Michael G. Hinchey. IEEE Software, July 1995.	Bibliography	
First Steps in the Verified Software Grand Challenge. Cliff Jones, Peter O'Hearn, Jim Woodcock. IEEE Computer, April 2006.	Bibliography	